UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/838,695	04/19/2001	Michael Dove	BU1327	8988
Brake Hughes	7590 08/27/200° PLC	1	EXAM	INER
C/O Intellevate		SAX, STEVEN PAUL		
P.O. Box 52050 Minneapolis, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2174	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/838,695	DOVE, MICHAEL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Steven P. Sax	2174	

Advisory Action	09/838,695 DOVE, MICHAEL		
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	,
	Steven P. Sax	2174	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A		•	, 555
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods: The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date 	n the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 Cl	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire! Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing day. Diance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi- te of the final rejection, of filed within two month	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed, as of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	within the time period set forth in 3	37 CFR 41.37(a).	
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	empliant Amendment ((PTOL-324).
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wivided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:		,	
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	vit or other evidence is	s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar. 10. The affidavit or other evidence failed to construct the sum of	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a 1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	f	
		STEVEN SA	K
		PRIMARYEXAM	NER -

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The Sadamatsu reference was new art found, which combined with Akatsu and Raheman warranted the rejection. The agreement was not that the amendment would necessarily render the application allowable, or even that it would render Akatsu moot, but rather that it would overcome the previous rejection (which was based on Akatsu, Raheman, and Henshaw). There is motivation to use the Sadamatsu reference, and the Akatsu reference still does have applicability, and does relate to the display of program data windows.